

January 29<sup>th</sup> 2020 Your Ref: MAN-130

Dear Sir/Madam

Additional comments from registered interested party; Mrs J Marks re; Manston Airport DCO

## Comments in support of all late submissions from Five10Twelve

Having no Internet or computer, I rely on my daughter printing hundreds and hundreds of documents in order to engage with this consultation. Those of us without online access find it almost impossible to participate. This consultation fails non-Internet users miserably.

The applicant has ignored comments and advice from York Aviation and has twisted information to suit their own plans. If York Aviation are good enough to report for the Government then I prefer to believe them. The applicant has also ignored the Airport Commission report which states extra capacity for South East England will be met by a 3<sup>rd</sup> runway at Heathrow.

Clearly the Government will not meet its carbon reduction targets if both Heathrow and Gatwick expand and Manston opens as a cargo hub. Perhaps the applicant thinks he should have priority over these existing airports, that is, if you believe those elastic figures for trade, and thousands of jobs. The figures in this DCO seem to go and up and according to which section it refers to.

The applicant has used outdated noise level tables. RSP does not admit that all property from the runway to the sea will experience decibel levels over the safe amount advised by the World Health Authority. Our council has all of the unacceptable levels recorded in previous years. No mention is made about costs to thousands of homes in the Ramsgate area for noise mitigation work.

No mention is made regarding a public safety area, which is clearly required. This would also mean our estate (Nethercourt) would be demolished. Is our Council going to delay and amend the local plan to allow for 800 families all then looking for homes? This DCO over the airport has already caused unacceptable delay to the town plan.

This DCO is for a start up business with no facts on future finance by persons with dubious track records and business failures and it should not be granted. The person who is responsible for the decision also has a responsibility to the town and residents of Ramsgate.

Frankly, I am amazed this fiasco has lasted so long, causing stress and worry to many. This delay and DCO uncertainty and aviation threat is also stopping investment in our town.

Yours sincerely

Mrs J Marks